

20/16
+ appendices



Notice of Non-key Executive Decision

Subject Heading:	Proposed traffic calming measures in Percy Road – Linley Crescent and Hainault Road - Outcome of Public Consultation.
Cabinet Member:	Cllr Osman Dervish Environment
SLT Lead:	Dipti Patel Assistant Director for Environment
Report Author and contact details:	Eugene Ochi Senior Engineer Street Management 01708 434671 highways@havering.gov.uk
Policy context:	Havering Local Development Framework (2008) Havering Local Implementation Plan 2018/19 Delivery Plan
Financial summary:	The estimated cost of £0.035m for implementation will be met by Transport for London through the Local Implementation Plan bid allocated to the borough for traffic Calming Measures in Mead School Area for 2019/20(A3068).
Relevant OSC:	Environment
Is this decision exempt from being called-in?	No

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Communities making Havering
Places making Havering
Opportunities making Havering

[]
[X]
[]

Non-key Executive Decision

Connections making Havering

[X]

Part A – Report seeking decision

DETAIL OF THE DECISION REQUESTED AND RECOMMENDED ACTION

This Executive Decision approves the implementation of traffic calming measures in Percy Road Area – Linley Crescent and Hainault Road, as set out on drawing attached in appendix 1 of this report following a recommendation of the Highways Advisory committee.

A Public Notice under the Highways Act 1980 and in accordance with the provisions of the Highways (Width restriction) Regulations 1999 will be advertised in the local press for the implementation of one width restriction and 20mph roundels in the proposed area.

AUTHORITY UNDER WHICH DECISION IS MADE

Council's Constitution:

Part 3, Section 2.5 paragraph (s) To consider recommendations of the Highways Advisory Committee relating to highways and traffic schemes and to make decisions relating to them.

Part 3, Section 2.6, paragraph (y) Portfolios to be assigned to individuals Cabinet Member – Highways & Traffic Schemes.

STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE DECISION

At its meeting of 17th December 2019, the Highways Advisory Committee ("HAC") considered a report on proposals for the implementation of safety improvements and width restriction in Percy Road Area (copy attached) with the following recommendation:

1. the Committee having considered the representations and information set out in this report recommends to the Cabinet Member for Environment in consultation with the Leader of the Council that safety improvements as detailed below and shown on the relevant drawing be implemented as follows:
 - a. A maximum 20mph zone in all three roads – Percy Road, Linley Crescent,

Non-key Executive Decision

and Hainault Road (associated roundels and width restriction) as shown on drawing in Appendix.1

- b. 20mph signage and markings to be laid with the zone

The HAC, having considered the report, recommended implementation of the proposals.

This decision reflects the recommendation of HAC and adopts the justification for the decision set out in the report to HAC.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

Consideration was given to increase the number of speed humps and tables which could be implemented, but the measures were rejected on the grounds that the number of accidents and speed statistics or finance involved, could not be justified.

PRE-DECISION CONSULTATION

2. Outcome of the public consultation in HAC and for ED Report.

- 2.1 349 letters were delivered by post to the residents who were considered would be affected by the proposals. In addition, the proposals were publicly advertised in the local press and emergency services were also consulted.

In addition, Members of Mawneys Ward were pre-consulted on the proposal.

- 2.2 At close of consultation 16 responses were received which represents a 4.5% of response rate. 15 respondents (including the Metropolitan Police and London fire Brigade) were in favour of the proposed measures in the area, whereas 1 respondent only objected to the proposed measures to be implemented as inadequate. The responses were analysed carefully and the results are included in appendix 2 of this report.

A number of respondents raised concern that traffic could divert to Hainault Road if there is a width restriction on Percy Road. There are also concerned that introducing traffic calming measures will lead to traffic slowing down and developing excessive congestion on Manway Road

NAME AND JOB TITLE OF STAFF MEMBER ADVISING THE DECISION-MAKER

Name: Eugene Ochi

Designation :Senior Engineer .

Signature: 

Date: 28th October 2019

Part B - Assessment of implications and risks

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

The Council's power to make an Order altering speed limits in highway maintainable at public expense is set out in Part VI of the HA 1980. Before an order is made, the Council should ensure that the statutory procedures set out in the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (Procedures) (England & Wales) Regulations 1996 (SI1996/2489) (as amended) are complied with.

The Council's power to make an Order regulating or controlling vehicular traffic on roads is set out in section 6 of Part 1 of the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984 ("RTRA"1984). Schedule 1 of the RTRA 1984 lists those matters as to which Orders can be made under section 6. These include:

'For prescribing rules as precedence to be observed as between vehicles proceeding in the same direction, in opposite directions or when crossing.'

The implementation of pinch points with priority given to vehicular traffic proceeding in opposite direction is compliant with the Council's powers under the RTRA.

Before an Order is made the Council should ensure that the statutory procedures set out in the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996(SI1996/2489) are complied with. The Traffic Signs Regulations & General Directions2016) govern the traffic signs and road markings.

Section122 RTRA 1984 proposes a general duty on local authorities when exercising functions under the RTRA. It provides, insofar as is material, to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. This statutory duty must be balanced with any concerns received over the implementation of the proposals.

In considering any responses any responses received during consultation, the Council must ensure that full consideration of all representations is given including those which do not accord with the officer's recommendation. The Council must be satisfied that any objections to the proposals are taken into account.

Non-key Executive Decision

In considering any consultation responses, the Council must balance the concerns of any objection with the statutory duty under section 122 RTRA 1984.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

This report is asking the Assistant Director for Environment to implement proposed traffic calming measures and width restriction in Percy Road, Linley Crescent and Hainault Road.

The estimated cost for implementation of the width restriction and Traffic Calming measures is £0.035m. The funding for carrying out the works will be met from the Local Implementation Plan bid allocated to the borough for Traffic Calming Measures in Percy Road Area for 2019/20(A3068).

This is a standard project for Street Management and there is no expectation that the works cannot be contained within the cost estimate. There is an element of contingency built into the financial estimate. In the unlikely event of an overspend, the balance would need to be contained within the overall Environmental budget.

HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS (AND ACCOMMODATION IMPLICATIONS WHERE RELEVANT)

There are no HR implications that impact directly on the Councils workforce.

EQUALITIES AND SOCIAL INCLUSION IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

The Council has a general duty under the Equality Act 2010 to ensure that its highway network is accessible to all. Where infrastructure is provided or substantially upgraded, reasonable adjustments should be made to improve access. In considering the impacts and making improvements for people with protected characteristics (mainly, but not limited to disabled people, the young and older people), this will assist the Council in meeting its duty under the Act.

Decisions need to be made which are in accordance with equalities considerations, the details of which will be reported in detail to the Committee so that a recommendation may be made to the Cabinet Member for Environment, Regulatory Services and Community Safety.

Non-key Executive Decision

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None.

Part C – Record of decision

I have made this executive decision in accordance with authority delegated to me by the Leader of the Council and in compliance with the requirements of the Constitution.

Decision

Proposals agreed as below:

- i) Implementation of traffic Calming Measures and Width restriction in Percy Road, Linley Crescent, and Hainault Road.
- ii) Implementation of 20mph speed Roundels and signage.

Details of decision maker

Signed x



Name: Councillor Osman Dervish

Cabinet Portfolio holder: Environment

Date: x 06/02/2020

Lodging this notice

The signed decision notice must be delivered to the proper officer, Debra Marlow, Principal Democratic Services Officer in Democratic Services, in the Town Hall.

For use by Committee Administration

Non-key Executive Decision

This notice was lodged with me on

21/21/20

Signed

A. N. M.

Appendix 1

Scheme Drawing showing proposal
HAC Report dated 17th December 2019.

Appendix 3

Copy of the Public Notice
(under Highways Act 1980) as advertised

